



<u>Area</u> <sup>1</sup>	<u>Industry</u> <sup>2</sup>	<u>Jan. '19</u>	<u>Jan. '20</u>	<u>12-month %</u> <u>change</u>	<u>12-month</u> <u>gain/loss</u>	<u>Rank</u> <sup>3</sup>
<b>Alabama</b>						
Statewide	Construction	89,000	91,100	2%	2,100	
Statewide	Mining, Logging, and Construction	99,200	100,800	2%	1,600	
Anniston-Oxford-Jacksonville, AL	Mining, Logging, and Construction	1,100	1,200	9%	100	21
Auburn-Opelika, AL	Mining, Logging, and Construction	2,600	2,800	8%	200	31
Birmingham-Hoover, AL	Construction	28,100	29,000	3%	900	125
Decatur, AL	Mining, Logging, and Construction	4,000	4,100	3%	100	125
Dothan, AL	Mining, Logging, and Construction	2,600	2,600	0%	0	201
Florence-Muscle Shoals, AL	Mining, Logging, and Construction	3,500	3,500	0%	0	201
Gadsden, AL	Mining, Logging, and Construction	1,000	1,000	0%	0	201
Huntsville, AL	Mining, Logging, and Construction	8,800	9,000	2%	200	147
Mobile, AL	Mining, Logging, and Construction	11,200	11,700	4%	500	100
Montgomery, AL	Mining, Logging, and Construction	6,200	6,600	6%	400	57
Tuscaloosa, AL	Mining, Logging, and Construction	6,600	7,100	8%	500	31
Columbus, GA-AL	Mining, Logging, and Construction	4,700	4,700	0%	0	201
<b>Alaska</b>						
Statewide	Construction	13,400	14,300	7%	900	
Statewide	Mining, Logging, and Construction	26,000	27,300	5%	1,300	
Anchorage, AK	Construction	8,400	9,000	7%	600	41
Fairbanks, AK	Construction	2,200	2,100	-5%	-100	326
<b>Arizona</b>						
Statewide	Construction	163,400	171,700	5%	8,300	
Statewide	Mining, Logging, and Construction	176,700	185,200	5%	8,500	
Flagstaff, AZ	Mining, Logging, and Construction	2,500	2,300	-8%	-200	341
Lake Havasu City-Kingman, AZ	Mining, Logging, and Construction	3,400	3,300	-3%	-100	294
Phoenix-Mesa-Scottsdale, AZ	Construction	128,600	135,400	5%	6,800	73
Prescott, AZ	Mining, Logging, and Construction	5,900	6,100	3%	200	125
Sierra Vista-Douglas, AZ	Mining, Logging, and Construction	1,700	1,900	12%	200	6
Tucson, AZ	Construction	17,300	17,900	3%	600	125
Yuma, AZ	Mining, Logging, and Construction	2,800	3,000	7%	200	41
<b>Arkansas</b>						
Statewide	Construction	50,100	51,900	4%	1,800	
Statewide	Mining, Logging, and Construction	55,800	57,600	3%	1,800	
Fayetteville-Springdale-Rogers, AR-MO	Mining, Logging, and Construction	11,800	12,400	5%	600	73
Fort Smith, AR-OK	Mining, Logging, and Construction	5,200	5,800	12%	600	6
Little Rock-North Little Rock-Conway, AR	Mining, Logging, and Construction	17,200	18,000	5%	800	73
Memphis, TN-MS-AR	Mining, Logging, and Construction	23,000	23,400	2%	400	147
Texarkana, TX-AR	Mining, Logging, and Construction	2,700	2,700	0%	0	201
<b>California</b>						
Statewide	Construction	842,800	859,000	2%	16,200	
Statewide	Mining, Logging, and Construction	864,600	881,100	2%	16,500	
Anaheim-Santa Ana-Irvine, CA Div.	Construction	104,000	104,100	0.1%	100	199
Bakersfield, CA	Construction	15,600	16,500	6%	900	57
Chico, CA	Mining, Logging, and Construction	4,000	4,200	5%	200	73
El Centro, CA	Mining, Logging, and Construction	1,800	1,800	0%	0	201
Fresno, CA	Construction	17,900	18,200	2%	300	147
Hanford-Corcoran, CA	Mining, Logging, and Construction	1,000	1,000	0%	0	201
Los Angeles-Long Beach-Glendale, CA Div.	Construction	144,100	150,700	5%	6,600	73
Madera, CA	Mining, Logging, and Construction	1,900	1,900	0%	0	201
Merced, CA	Mining, Logging, and Construction	2,300	2,600	13%	300	3
Modesto, CA	Mining, Logging, and Construction	9,800	9,900	1%		





Area<sup>1</sup>

Industry<sup>2</sup>

Jan. '19

Jan. '20

12-month %  
change

12-month  
gain/loss







Area<sup>1</sup>

Industry<sup>2</sup>

Jan. '19

Jan. '20

12-month %  
change

12-month  
gain/loss



! "# \$ % ' ( ) \* + , ( - . / " ) , % 1 2 3 ) 4 1 \$ , " % 4 % 6 \$ " - ) 2 ) 3 " # , % 7 - \$ # % ) - 8 ' 9 ( ' ) , : % # , . # - 4 % < = > ? @ ; # , . # - 4 % < = < =

<u>Area</u> <sup>1</sup>	<u>Industry</u> <sup>2</sup>	<u>Jan. '19</u>	<u>Jan. '20</u>	<u>12-month % change</u>	<u>12-month gain/loss</u>	<u>Rank</u> <sup>3</sup>
Akron, OH	Mining, Logging, and Construction	13,200	13,900	5%	700	73
Canton-Massillon, OH	Mining, Logging, and Construction	8,100	7,600	-6%	-500	330
Cincinnati, OH-KY-IN	Mining, Logging, and Construction	44,800	43,200	-4%	-1,600	310
Cleveland-Elyria, OH	Mining, Logging, and Construction	35,100	37,900	8%	2,800	31
Columbus, OH	Mining, Logging, and Construction	39,900	43,400	9%	3,500	21
Dayton, OH	Mining, Logging, and Construction	12,700	12,800	1%	100	176
Lima, OH	Mining, Logging, and Construction	2,000	2,000	0%	0	201
Mansfield, OH	Mining, Logging, and Construction	2,000	1,800	-10%	-200	350
Springfield, OH	Mining, Logging, and Construction	1,100	1,000	-9%	-100	348
Toledo, OH	Mining, Logging, and Construction	13,900	14,700	6%	800	57
Weirton-Steubenville, WV-OH	Mining, Logging, and Construction	1,600	1,600	0%	0	201
Youngstown-Warren-Boardman, OH-PA	Mining, Logging, and Construction	8,500	8,300	-2%		



! "# \$ % & ' ( ) \* + , ( - . / " ) , % 1 2 3 ) 4 1 \$ , % 5 4 % 6 \$ " - ) 2 ) 3 " # , % 7 - \$ # % ) - 8 ' 9 ( ' ) , : # , . # - 4 % < = > ? @ ; # , . # - 4 % < = < =

<u>Area</u> <sup>1</sup>	<u>Industry</u> <sup>2</sup>	<u>Jan. '19</u>	<u>Jan. '20</u>	<u>12-month % change</u>	<u>12-month gain/loss</u>	<u>Rank</u> <sup>3</sup>
Myrtle Beach-Conway-North Myrtle Beach, SC-NC	Mining, Logging, and Construction	10,500	10,900	4%	400	100
Spartanburg, SC	Mining, Logging, and Construction	7,500	7,600	1%	100	176
Augusta-Richmond County, GA-SC	Mining, Logging, and Construction	19,800	20,800	5%	1,000	73
Charlotte-Concord-Gastonia, NC-SC	Mining, Logging, and Construction	65,700	68,600	4%	2,900	100
<b>South Dakota</b>						
Statewide	Construction	20,700	21,700	5%	1,000	
Statewide	Mining, Logging, and Construction	21,500	22,600	5%	1,100	
Rapid City, SD	Mining, Logging, and Construction	4,700	4,700	0%	0	201
Sioux Falls, SD	Mining, Logging, and Construction	8,200	8,300	1%	100	176
<b>Tennessee</b>						
Statewide	Construction	124,200	127,300	2%	3,100	
Statewide	Mining, Logging, and Construction	128,500	131,600	2%	3,100	

Chattanooga, TN-G 0 0 0 7-0.1 (w) 0.2 (i) -0.4 559.44 508.32 7.92 re W n /Cs1 cs 0 0 0 sc q 0.72 0 0 0.72 59.04 547.92 cm BT 0 0 0 17 0 0 Tm/TT4 1 Tf [(C) 0.2 (h) -0.1 (a)ing, L-0.1 (i) -0.1 (i





